DOCUMENT_RESUME

ED 348 772 EA 024 248

AUTHOR

Furtwengler, Willis J.; Hurst, David

TITLE

Leadership for School Quality--Personal Challenge,

the Missing Factor.

PUB DATE

Apr 92

NOTE

35p.; Paper presented at the Annual Meeting of the

American Educational Research Association (San

Francisco, CA, April 20-24, 1992).

PUB TYPE

Speeches/Conference Papers (150) -- Reports Research/Technical (143) -- Tests/Evaluation

Instruments (160)

EDRS PRICE

MF01/PC02 Plus Postage.

DESCRIPTORS

*Administrator Effectiveness; Administrator Responsibility; *Administrator Role; *Educational Change; Elementary Secondary Education; Leadership Qualities; *Leadership Styles; Organizational Climate; Organizational Development; *Principals;

*Professional Development

ABSTRACT

Findings of a study that examined principals' leadership behaviors in implementing a systemic program for school reform and improvement are presented in this paper. The program, Reaching Success through Involvement (RSI), is an implementation strategy that involves comprehensive assessment and student leader participation. A survey was administered to 1,426 teachers from 30 schools participating in RSI to determine views of their principals' leadership. Findings confirmed the importance of the three factors of effective leadership that were identified in the literature: developing and maintaining structure, providing consideration, and developing an organizational culture. A new construct was also identified--personal challenge--which is the ability to empower others by creating opportunities for personal or professional growth. A conclusion is that administrators need to play a more active role in helping school community members find jop satisfaction and meaning. Nine tables are included. The appendix contains a copy of the survey instrument. (Contains 53 references.) (LMI)

Reproductions supplied by EDRS are the best that can be made

^{*} from the original document.

84 64 40 HZ

LEADERSHIP FOR SCHOOL QUALITY-PERSONAL CHALLENGE, THE MISSING FACTOR

Willis J. Furtwengler

Wichita State University
Educational Administration and Supervision
P. O. Box 142
115 Hubbard Hall
Wichita, KS 67208

David Hurst

P. O. Box 524 Selmer, TN 38375

U.S DEPARTMENT OF EDUCATION
Office of Educational Research and Improvement
EDUCATIONAL RESOURCES INFORMATION
CENTER (ERIC)

This document has been reproduced as received from the person or organization originating it

- Minor changes have been made to improve reproduction quality
- Points of view or opinions stated in this document do not necessarily represent official OERI position or policy

"PERMISSION TO REPRODUCE THIS MATERIAL HAS BEEN GRANTED BY

W. Funtwengler D. Hurst

TO THE EDUCATIONAL RESOURCES INFORMATION CENTER (ERIC)."

Presented at the 1992 Annual Meeting of the American Educational Research Association San Francisco, California

BEST COPY AVAILABLE

At the 1986 AERA convention the principal author presented a paper entitled *Reaching Success through Involvement (RSI)–Implementation Strategy for Creating and Maintaining Effective Schools*. Since 1986, eight other papers presented at AERA conventions have described findings from schools regarding this unique implementation strategy that involves (1) a comprehensive assessment process and (2) the participation of informal and formal student leaders.

The purpose of this paper is to report findings based on the views of teachers whose schools implemented the RSI process of systemic reform and continuous improvement. A second purpose is to report findings of the validity of the Situational Effectiveness Inventory (Furtwengler, 1985).

The paper, in an attempt to define effective leadership, reviews teachers' views of their principals' leadership actions and their skills in creating and maintaining an effective organization. The paper also describes the kinds of leadership actions and skills teachers expect from their building principals during systemic reform. A leadership factor called personal challenge, not emphasized in previous literature and research, is described. The paper describes implications of the findings for administrators and teachers interested in implementing quality improvement programs. Suggestions for improving the validity of the Situational Effectiveness Inventory are also covered.

The RSI Process

Reaching Success through Involvement (RSI) helps schools improve the quality of their: (1) graduates or students they promote, (2) instructional/learning system, and (3) supply of resources. Implementation of this quality improvement process increases the number of shared roles and responsibilities among students, teachers, administrators, and parents (Furtwengler, 1990b). School members also agree to improve continually the students' learning, the system responsible for student learning outcomes, and the supply of resources (Furtwengler, 1990b).

The RSI process is based on research that shows that school members' perceptions of control can significantly affect variables that control individual and group performance. Persons who have a strong sense of ownership and control (i.e., belief that they can do something or make something happen) are motivated and actually learn better that those who perceive that they have little control (Leftcourt, 1976; Langer, 1989). A shared responsibility for what happens and what is learned must exist among school members and the community/public for quality improvement to occur (Walton, 1986).

Perspectives used to develop the RSI process that results in quality improvement include research and writings on the nature of organizational culture and organizational change (Deal, 1987; Sergiovanni, 1987; Morgan, 1986; Fullan, 1982, 1992; Schein, 1986; Purkey & Smith, 1983; Gleick, 1987; Prigogine & Stengers, 1984). The RSI conceptual model of systemic reform and continuous improvement, based on work by Deming (1986) and Walton 1986), includes assessing and monitoring the school's leadership and other major components.

The RSI model orders seven sets of processes based on works by the foregoing authorities. The following processes are ordered and adapted for implementation by each school: (1) self-studies and planning, (2) leadership mobilizations, (3) major events, (4) action teams, (5) reflect and play times, (6) daily instructional/learning activities, and (7) chaos/focus transition activities (Furtwengler, 1990c). Teachers', students', parents', and administrators' participation in these logically sequenced processes results in: (1) school community member commitment and implementation of a philosophy of continuous improvement, (2) continuous monitoring of the school's health and productivity, (3) the identification of internal and external customers and their expectations and needs, (4) the identification of the barriers to teaching-learning system improvement, (5) the use of interventions to modify barriers to quality improvement, (6) increases in the shared roles and responsibilities for school members, and (7) quality improvement in the school's output, process, and input.

A combination of quantitative and qualitative methods were used to conduct research about the schools and to assess the results of the implementations of the RSI process. Data collection using these methods occurred twice over a period of two years and at approximately the same time each school year. The quantitative methods included determining the raw and adjusted scores on a series of instruments and analyzing existing school record data. The qualitative methods included structured interviews with teachers and students and observations of student and faculty behaviors at schools. Trained facilitators recorded interviewee responses and observations of students and faculty members at each school. Data were assembled on each school and compared with the norms from other schools similar to the school under consideration. The processes of rating the constructs for each school are described in various other publications and research reports (Furtwengler, 1986, 1989, 1990a). One of the quantitative measures was the use of the Situational Effectiveness Inventory (SEI). The SEI purports to assess the effectiveness of administrative leadership within schools. Effectiveness is the extent to which teachers' desires and expectations for a particular administrative position are viewed as being achieved by the administrator in that position.

Brief Description of the Instrument

The 78-item SEI includes two inventories both of which use a Likert-type continuum for measurement. The first inventory (SEII) purports to measure teachers' desires and expectations for their principal's (and assistant principal's) behaviors, personal characteristics, and outcomes (the organizational characteristics the principal should create and maintain); see Appendix A. The second inventory (SEI II) purports to measure the teachers' perceptions of their principal's behaviors, personal characteristics, and outcomes (the organizational characteristics the principal is viewed as creating and maintaining); see Appendix B. Data from the inventories are shown as mean scores for clusters of items and for individual items.

Several studies were completed during development of the SEI. These

studies included the use of multivariant analyses (see e. g. Cumbie, 1977). During later development of the SEI, items representing established construct measures of effective school culture and school climate were added to the 1987 version of the SEI (Moles, 1990). These additional items were designed to assess the administrator's effectiveness in creating a "high performing" school culture and school climate (Falls, 1983; Furtwengler & Konnert, 1982; Kelley, 1980; Morton, 1981; Mason, 1984; Upton, 1986). The SEI appears to have a moderate degree of content validity and acceptable levels of reliability. The validity of the SEI is supported levels by interview data with teachers (Furtwengler, 1990c).

Data Sources

In the empirical study, 30 schools were selected from a SEI data base of 265 schools. Data were collected between January 1, 1987, and December 31, 1990, during implementation of the RSI process in these schools. Teachers at each of 30 schools—located in New England, Southern, and Mid-Western states—responded to the SEI. The resultant stratified, random sample included a broad range of populations (small schools to large schools), geographic locations, cultural differences (urban and rural), and grade levels (elementary, middle, junior high, and senior high). The sample included 1,426 cases. Half of these cases measured teachers' "perceptions" of an administrator's personal characteristics, behaviors, and accomplishments. The other half assessed teachers' "expectations" of these components for a person in that particular administrator's position. The sets of data from individual schools were combined for analysis, but kept intact for school-to-school analysis.

Methods of Analysis

A combination of quantitative and qualitative methods of research were used to conduct the research regarding the teachers' expectations for and perceptions of their principals. The qualitative method included a comprehensive review of existing research to identify the major constructs of

effective leadership. Research and literature about the topic of leadership were reviewed in detail, themes identified, categories and labels created, and various findings listed in each category.

The quantitative research methods included multivariant analysis of the teachers' SEI data to discern the principal components among the 78 items. Four national experts, all involved in research related to leadership, reviewed the clusters of items included in this principal component analysis and suggested labels and construct definitions for each cluster. The construct clusters were compared with those identified through the review of existing research and literative.

An analysis of variance was used to determine the extent to which the respondents from different schools viewed their leaders differently. Mean scores of expectation items within each component cluster were reviewed to determine the extent to which teachers expected the construct to exist as a part of effective leadership. Systat 5.1 was used to conduct the data analysis.

Findings

Findings from the broad thematic analysis of the literature helped to define four leadership constructs and several administrative skills and traits associated with effective leadership. The themes included two traditional cosntructs, initiation of structure or task and, consideration or human relations (Barnard, 1948; Bennis, 1989; DePree, 1989; Halpin & Winer, 1957; Hitt, 1988; Homans, 1950; Jaap, 1989; Peters & Austin, 1985; Reddin, 1970; Ridge, 1989; Senge, 1990b; Sergiovanni, 1982; Shartle, 1956; Stogdill & Coons, 1957; Yukl, 1989). In addition, the review showed that two other factors were prominent: vision creation and communication of the vision and, development of a strong organizational culture (Barnard, 1948; Bennis, 1989; Clement, 1985; Fraccaro, 1990; Hitt, 1988; Iannaccone & Jangochian, 1985; Jaap, 1989; Rutherford, 1985; Sayles, 1989; Senge, 1990a). Recent findings also show that specific traits of the administrator may contribute to leadership effectiveness. These include: (1) a passion for learning (Bennis, 1986; Furtwengler, 1989; Hitt, 1988; Jaap, 1989; Rutherford, 1985; Senge, 1990b), (2)

effective communication skills (Bennis, 1986; Clement, 1985; Hitt, 1988), (3) situational awareness and an ability to detect emerging changes (Furtwengler, 1988; Jaap, 1989; Senge, 1990a), (4) flexibility (Reddin, 1970; Sayles, 1989), (5) "pragmatic idealism" (Hitt, 1988), and (6) the skills and knowledge necessary to view situations from multiple perspectives: political, structural, human resource, and symbolic (Bolman & Deal, 1984).

The definition of effective leadership derived from the review of existing studies includes administrators' skills and traits that provide structure (task) for the group and consideration and acceptance of group members (human relations). These two factors of leadership may be viewed as the management functions of leadership. Initiating or providing structure results in: (1) a clear sense of reality and the existing situation among personnel, (2) continuous updating of programmatic goals, specific objectives, and the roles of personnel to achieve those goals, (3) a belief among personnel that the administrator is doing work, including taking risks, to "get the job done," and (4) the effective and efficient use of the administrator's attention, time, and resources. Providing acceptance of group members results in the development of a sense of trust, integrity, respect, empowerment, fairness, sensitivity, and a shared warmth and caring among teachers.

The third factor of effective leadership is the administrators' actions that lead to the creation and communication of visions. Creating and communicating visions result in: (1) teachers' awareness of clear images of the organization's future success, and (2) a shared ownership and understanding among personnel of the primary purposes of the organization.

The fourth factor of effective leadership is the administrators' actions that develop a high quality culture. Cultural development is the administrator's actions that result in: (1) school community members' clear understanding and acceptance of a set of operational norms and values that lead to the organization's success, (2) personnel commitment, loyalty, and devotion to the organization and its mission, and (3) high levels of teamwork and shared leadership.

The last of five theme categories of effective leadership is the administra-

tors' actions that demonstrate to others the administrators' passion for learning, effective communication skills, situation awareness and the ability to detect emerging changes, flexibility, "pragmatic idealism," and ability to view situations from multiple perspectives.

How is leadership effectiveness defined by the findings from the quantitative analysis of data in this study?

Statistical Correlation of SEI II Item Responses

Varimax rotation analysis of the principal components resulted quantitative associations among the variables. Four perception factors with eigenvalues above 2.50 were identified through the analysis.

The first perception factor had an eigenvalue of 25.1 and accounted for 13.5% of the variance. Table 1 shows the perception variables with loadings above .400 derived from the Varimax rotation. The 14 items listed appear to be similar to the construct defined in the literature as initiating structure or task. The items in Factor I represent some behaviors usually associated with traditional management styles.

The second perception factor, with an eigenvalue of 5.4, accounted for 13.8% of the variance. Table 2 shows Factor II's loadings above .400 for 21 perception variables. These SEI items reflect the constructs of consideration or human relations found in the literature. The items in Factor II appear to describe constructs related to relationships, feelings, and personalities.

The third perception factor, with an eigenvalue of 3.6, accounted for 8.5% of the variance. Table 3 shows Factor III's loadings above .400 for perception variables on the SEI inventory. These 12 items in Factor III perceptions clearly represent aspects of the development of an effective culture. The highest loaded item (.822, item 75) concerns school personnel's strong commitment to the aims of the organization. This outcome item is closely related to vision creation and vision communication described by other researchers.

The fourth perception factor, with an eigenvalue of 3.0 accounted for 4.1% of the variance. Table 4 shows the Factor IV's loadings above .400 for six perception variables. These items describe administrative behaviors that

encourage teachers to: (1) assume responsibility for their actions and their learning, (2) act to resolve their own problems, (3) achieve their specific individual aims, (4) assist administrators in making important decisions, and (5) develop consideration for others.

The idea of the leader providing personal challenges for subordinates has appeared throughout the literature for many years. Factor IV items suggest the identification of the personal challenge construct that was missing until

Table 1

Factor I Results of Principal Component Analysis of SEI II Perception-Item Responses of 713 Teachers

SEI II Item	Loading	Item
34	.716	Follow through
24	.697	Identify, solve problems
03	.695	Persistence
55	.659	Decisiveness
13	.656	Well informed
35	.638	Perseverance
25	.606	Plans ahead
39	.561	Does own thinking
15	.518	Aroused by challenges
62	.480	Provides penalties for
		those who repeat misdeeds
07	.465	Daring
44	.454	Keeps others well informed
41	.411	Interested in self-develop-
		ment

Table 2

Factor II Results of Principal Component Analysis
of SEI II Perception-Item Responses for 713 Teachers

SEI II Item	Loading	Item
19	.771	Accepting of others
30	.756	Avoids putting others down
04	.711	Warm and caring
22	.699	Trusts others
14	.666	Patience
50	.642	Allows others freedom to act
26	.639	Listens to others
02	.636	Cheerful, optimistic
.09	.631	Relaxed, not combative
12	.630	Sensitive to needs of others
11	.606	Calm, composed, not easily upset
21	.553	Open to suggestions
05	.541	Centered on others
33	.515	Involves self with others
57	.494	Does not attempt to blame others
		when problems arise
59	.494	Shares making of decision with
		others
31	.480	Often analyzes own behavior
36	.470	Recognizes the accomplishments
		of others
56	.455	Rewards others for their appropri-
		ate behaviors
45	.443	Sets reasonable goals
48	.408	Does not please others all the time



Table 3

Factor III Results of Principal Component Analysis of SEI II Perception-Item Responses for 713 teachers.

SEI II Item	Loading	Item—STEM: The principal creates or maintains among personnel in the organization:
75	.822	A strong commitment to the aims of the organization
70	.790	Perceptions that the administrator supports the learning and work activities of the organization
67	.777	A clear understanding of the aims of the organization
76	.771	Genuine attention to both detail and quality
73	.747	A strong commitment to the aims of the organization
78	.746	Resources for the support of day- to-day activities
72	.729	Consistency in the handling of day-to-day activities
74	.689	Pockets in the organization for innovation and experimentation
71	.667	A norm for people to go beyond "the call of duty"
68	.657	An emphasis on punctuality
69	.649	Opportunities for people to share important personal concerns
77	.564	Opportunities for the staff to have occasional socials

Table 4

Factor IV Results of Principal Component Analysis of SEI II Perception-Item Responses for 713 teachers.

SEI II Item Numbers	Loadings	Item
53	.627	Develops others who put the welfare of others ahead of their
60	.588	own needs Develops others who satisfy their needs without burdening others
61	.496	Encourages individualism
54	.496	Encourages others to trust their own judgment
65	.494	Encourages others to assume responsibility for solving problems
66	.425	Involves others in considering alternatives when making important decisions

now from most leadership research studies. The construct reflects a belief that effective leaders encourage acceptance of personal challenges that lead to individual growth or work achievement. The effective leader helps others develop a sense of internal control over, and a responsibility for, their own growth and work improvement through personal challenges. Effective leaders, according to the personal challenge construct, provide opportunities for others to identify and achieve personal challenges.

Statistical Correlation of SEI I Item Responses

Analysis of the principal components of the teacher responses to the SEI

I resulted in quantitative associations among the variables. Four expectation factors with eigenvalues above 2.5 were identified through the analysis by Varimax rotation.

The first expectation factor had an eigenvalue of 15.7 and accounted for 7.9% of the variance. Table 5 shows the loadings above .400 for expectation variables in Factor I. These 13 items describe a leadership construct similar to the perception factor construct called personal challenge (see Table 4). In particular, this first expectation construct describes the administrator's behaviors and influence that encourages teachers to: (1) assume responsibility for their actions and their learning, (2) act to resolve their own problems, (3) achieve their specific individual aims, (4) assist administrators in making important decisions, (5) avoid repeating misdeeds, and (6) develop consideration for others. Thus this expectation factor appears to describe a part of the personal challenge construct discovered in the factor analysis of the perception data. The personal challenge construct also describes the administrator's expected role in creating a learning environment in which people are encouraged and may be required to be personally responsible for the successful achievement of their personal and professional challenges. The expectation factor of personal challenge includes provision for the principal to provide challenges to personnel who cannot or will not define challenges for themselves (to learn continually and improve the schools or themselves). If personnel fail to define challenges for themselves their actions may be considered misdeeds and worthy of penalties.

The second expectation factor, with an eigenvalue of 6.7, accounted for 11.1% of the variance. Table 6 shows Factor II's perception variables with loadings above .400. These second expectation factor included the same response items as the third perception factor, cultural development. The 12 items encompassed by factor II clearly reflect expectations for the development of an effective culture construct.

The third expectation factor, with an eigenvalue of 3.0, accounted for 5.7% of the variance. Table 7 shows Factor III's eight expectation variables with loadings above .400. These items appear to be similar to the perception con-

Table 5

Factor I Results of Principal Component Analysis of SEI I Expectation-Item Responses of 713 Teachers

SEI II Item	Loading	Item
53	.654	Develops others who put the welfare of others ahead of their own needs
54	.645	Encourages others to trust their own judgment
55	.631	Be decisive, consistent, and firm in response to misdeeds
60	.587	Develops others who satisfy their needs without burdening others
58	.540	Encourages feedback on own performance
52	.535	Welcomes change, focus on the future
65	.519	Encourages others to assume responsibility for solving prob- lems
46	.509	Expands growth opportunities of others
63	.506	Recognizes the accomplishments of others
62	.469	Provides penalties for those who repeat misdeeds
61	.442	Encourages individualism
35	.433	Persevere
66	.428	Involve others in considering alternatives when making important decisions.

Table VI

Factor Two Results of Principal Component Analysis of SEI I Expectation Item Responses for 713 teachers.

SEI I Item Numbers	Loading	Item—The principal should create or maintain among personnel in the organization:
	•	
75	912	A strong commitment to the aims of the organization
73	.906	Feelings that everyone is a part of the organization
70	.889	Perceptions that the administra- tor supports the learning and work activities of the organiza- tion
72	.886	Consistency in the handling of day-to-day activities
76	.882	Genuine attention to both detail and quality
67	.874	A clear understanding of the aims of the organization
78	.827	Resources for the support of day- to-day activities
74	.797	Pockets in the organization for innovation and experimentation
68	.776	An emphasis on punctuality
69	.773	Opportunities for people to share important personal concerns
71	.620	A norm for people to go beyond "the call of duty"
77	.617	Opportunities for the staff to have occasional socials.



Table 7

Factor III Results of Principal Component Analysis of SEI I Expectation-Item Responses of 713 Teachers

SEI I Item	Loading	Item
20	.783	Sure of personal aims
13	.650	Well informed
15	.570	Aroused by challenges
24	.555	Identify, solve problems
02	.554	Cheerful, optimistic
21	.542	Open to suggestions
03	.512	Persistent
25	.417	Plans ahead

Table 8
Factor IV Results of Principal Component Analysis
of SEI I Expectation-Item Responses for 713 Teachers

SEI I Item	Loading	Item
14	.758	Patience
19	.531	Accepting of others
09	.497	Relaxed, not combative
30	.756	Avoids putting others down
22	.484	Trusts others
50	.438	Allows others freedom to act

struct defined as initiating structure or task. Two items appear in this expectation construct that are missing from the perception construct. They are "cheerful, optimistic" and "open to suggestions."

The fourth expectation factor, with an eigenvalue of 2.5, accounted for only 2.7% of the variance. Table 8 shows Factor loadings above .400 for six expectation variables of Factor IV. These SEII expectation items are the same as the items in the first perception construct, consideration or human relations. The items in Factor IV also appear to describe constructs related to relationships, feelings, and personalities.

What do teachers expect from their principals when they are involved in systemic reform? The average expectation scores for items in each of the four constructs were as follows: structure, 4.27; consideration, 4.11; cultural development, 4.22; and personal challenge, 4.18. Average perception scores for items in each of the four constructs were: structure, 3.56; consideration, 3.5; cultural development, 3.59; and personal challenge, 3.50. Differences between the mean expectation and perception data for each of the four constructs appear to be greatest for structure and cultural development. Administrators may need to pay close attention to the development and maintanence of structure and an effective culture as system reform progresses.

To what extent are the SEI's four constructs of the principals' effective leadership perceived differently by personnel in their specific schools? Perception data from 713 respondents in 30 schools were clustered according to the four factors identified from the factor analysis. The principal was viewed as the unit of analysis and membership in the organization was regarded as the main effect. The results of ANOVA, presented in Table IX, show that each of the four factors measured by the SEI can discriminate significantly ($\mathbf{p} < .01$) between groups of data. The ANOVA analysis shows that the SEI is extremely effective in measuring the leader's skills, personal characteristics, and outcomes as viewed by school personnel.

Results

Three factors of effective leadership were identified from the review of research and literature and from the factor analysis of SEI data. The factors were: (1) developing and maintaining structure, (2) providing consideration,



Table IX

ANOVA Results for Membership Differences in Respondents' Perceptions of Leaders

SEI II Scale Between	Groups	Within Group	DF	F
Cultural Development	64.336	422.218	29,685	3.599*
Personal Challenge	36.525	191.234	29,685	4.512*
Consideration	10.892	48.232	29,685	5.334*
Structure	130.784	307.359	29,685	10.051*

and (3) developing an effective organizational culture. Developing and maintaining structure was defined as the leader's ability to recognize and define reality, set reasonable goals, take action, focus the attention of the organization, and identify and solve problems. The second factor, providing consideration was defined as the leader's ability to create and maintain an environment that manifests warmth and caring, a feeling of trust and integrity, a sense of fairness, empowerment, and the leader's ability and willingness to listen. Cultural development, the third factor, was defined as the leader's ability to create and maintain among personnel the aims, goals, and mission of the organization, clear group norms, teamwork, social acceptance within the organization, and a commitment to the organization.

The fourth factor, derived from the data in this study, represented a new construct that was labeled personal challenge. Personal challenges are aims individuals establish and/or accept whose achievement requires use of their above average efforts and skills. This factor was defined as the leader's ability to empower others by creating opportunities for professional or personal growth in the form of personal challenges. The existence of this factor is supported by research regarding intrinsic motivation, ownership, and locus

of control theory (Csikszentmihalyi & Csikszentmihalyi, 1988; Langer, 1989; Leftcourt, 1976). The achievement of these challenges also leads to personal and team recognition for contributing to the accomplishment of the organization's mission or vision. The personal challenge construct also promotes a spirit of accountability, responsibility, selflessness, and altruism among subordinates.

Creating and maintaining vision and the administrative traits and skills suggested by previous research and writers did not receive confirming support as independent factors in this study. Experts who reviewed the data suggested adding items to the SEI to more clearly assess the vision construct. In their opinion, the vision construct did not appear as a separate factor because only one or two questions in the SEI reflected the vision construct defined in the literature. The two SEI questions relating to vision appeared in the cultural development factor.

The SEI does assess many administrative traits and skills as separate items and several that appear among the four factors and in recent literature. For instance, the SEI assesses, effective communication skills, situational awareness, and flexibility.

The SEI demonstrated the ability to differentiate between data sets from different schools. The one-way analysis of variance showed that each of the four factors measured by the SEI discriminated significantly (\underline{p} < .01) between group3 of data. The findings show that the SEI is effective in assessing differences between data sets for administrator-to-administrator comparisons. The SEI's ability to differentiate statistically among sets of data is particularly significant because it augurs for the validity of the instrument.

Conclusions

This paper reports findings about principals' leadership based on the views of teachers whose schools implemented the RSI process of systemic reform and continuous improvement. Results of this study suggest that effective leadership as defined by teachers exists as four constructs during systemic reform. The definition of effective leadership includes providing

structure, consideration, developing an effective culture, and providing opportunities for personnel to engage in defining and achieving personal challenges.

Providing structure results in: (1) a clear sense of reality and the existing situation among personnel, (2) continuous updating of programmatic goals, specific objectives, and the roles of personnel to achieve those goals, (3) a belief among personnel that the administrator is doing work, including taking risks, to "get the job, and (4) the effective and efficient use of the administrator's attention, time, and resources. Providing consideration leads to the development of a sense of trust, integrity, respect, empowerment, fairness, sensitivity, and a shared warmth and caring among teachers.

Developing an effective culture results in: (1) school community members' clear understanding and acceptance of a set of values and operating norms that lead to the organization's success, (2) personnel commitment, loyalty, and devotion to the organization and its mission, and (3) high levels of teamwork and shared leadership. Developing personal challenges results in: (1) feelings of empowerment among personnel, (2) individual professional and personal growth, (3) recognition for above average work, and (4) a focus on the future and risk-taking behaviors.

The mean expectation item scores for each of the four effective leadership constructs was above 4.00 on a five-point scale. These high ratings reflect teachers expectations of their administrators during systemic reform. Teachers expect their leaders to reflect and/or create and maintain the elements of each of these four constructs during implementation of systemic reform. In addition, the structure and cultural development constructs may be particularly important. High mean scores for expectations on the constructs of structure, 4.27, and cultural development, 4.22, and the probable differences between the mean scores of expectations and perceptions for the structure and cultural development constructs appear to support this proposition.

A comparison was made between the effective leadership constructs of the SEI with constructs found in the effective leadership literature. Experts were asked to identify and define the four clusters of factored items from the SEI data. Their review defined at least three of the constructs that are defined in the literature. Experts viewed vision as described in the literature as being related to two SEI items in the cultural development factor. But the experts recommended adding items to the SEI that clearly reflect the vision construct defined in the literature. The experts defined a fourth construct, personal challenge, that is not clearly defined in the literature.

The study did not determine the extent to which the SEI assesses the administrative skills and characteristics found in the recent literature about effective leadership. The SEI, as presently constructed, has a moderate ot high degree of content validity.

Implications for Educators Involved in Systemic Reform

The leadership behaviors and skills that teachers believe are necessary to support systemic reform is an important component in the process of change in schools. The items in the four SEI constructs can help administrators understand those behaviors that support systemic reform implementation. The data from this study suggests that administrators should create opportunities for teachers, students, and parents to define and accept personal challenges that help achieve the school's vision. The personal challenge leadership construct suggests that administrators may need to play a more active role in helping school community members find meaning and satisfaction in their work. Personal goal-setting meetings that help teachers align the curriculum or discover each other's student outcome expectations may lead to the development and acceptance of personal challenges. It may be, indeed, that the vision of the school must be personalized through individual or team challenges before successful systemic reform can occur.

The personal challenge construct includes items that suggest the use of penalties for repeated use of inappropriate behaviors. If personnel cannot or will not define challenges for themselves (to improve continually the schools or themselves), then it may be necessary for the principal to provide the challenges for those personnel.

When administrators need to determine how they are perceived or what



teachers' expect from them, they should consider using an inventory such as the SEI. The data from the such inventories can be validated further by personal interviews with teachers who "tell it like it is." If the SEI is revised, its usefulness to administrators will improve. Periodic checkups from the use of such inventories may benefit the administrators involved in continuous change.

Validity of the SEI can be improved through revisions to reflect the recommendations offered by recent research (Hurst, 1991). Additional research is needed to further define the personal challenge factor and to find additional administrator behaviors that specifically result in the acceptance of personal challenges by school personnel. Research is needed to determine additional administrative actions that lead to the existence of the four leadership constructs in schools.

References

- Barnard, C. (1948). <u>Organization and management</u>. Cambridge, MA: Harvard University Press.
- Bennis, W. G. (1986). Transformative power and leadership. In T. J. Sergiovanni & J. E. Corbally (Eds.), <u>Leadership and organizational</u> culture: New perspectives on administrative theory and practice (pp. 64-71). Chicago: University of Illinois Press.
- Bennis, W. G. (1989). On becoming a leader. New York: Addison-Wesley.
- Bolman, L. G., & Deal, T. E. (1984). <u>Modern approaches to understanding</u> and managing organizations. San Francisco: Jossey-Bass.
- Clement, S. D. (1985). Systems leadership: A focus on the gestalt. In J. G. Hunt & J. D. Blair (Eds.), <u>Leadership on the future battlefield</u> (pp. 151-167). McLean, VA: Pergamon-Brassey's International Defense Publishers.
- Csikszentmihalyi, M., & Csikszentmihalyi, I. S. (1988). Optimal experience: Psychological studies of flow in consciousness. Cambridge: Cambridge University Press.



- Cumbie, A. Y. (1977). <u>A study of the relationships between and within personal characteristics and behaviors, both expected and perceived, of the Situational Effectiveness System (SES)</u>. Doctoral dissertation, Vanderbilt University.
- Deal, T. E. (1987). The culture of schools in leadership-Examining the elusive. In <u>1987 ASCD Yearbook</u> (pp. 3-29). Washington, DC: Association of Curriculum and Development.
- Deming, E. D. (1986). <u>Out of the crisis</u>. Cambridge, MA: Massachusetts Institute of Technology.
- DePree, M. (1989). Leadership is an art. New York: Doubleday.
- Falls, G. T. (1983). <u>Teachers' perceptions of school climate as related to</u> their perceptions of discipline effectiveness. Doctoral Dissertation, Tennessee State University.
- Fraccaro, W. (1990). <u>How effective principals communicate; create vision;</u> convey high expectations; and utilize situational leadership. Doctoral dissertation, Vanderbilt University.
- Fullan, G. M. (1992). Visions that blind. <u>Educational Leadership</u>, <u>49</u>(5), 19-20.
- Fullan, M. (1982). <u>The meaning of educational change</u>. New York: Teachers College, Columbia University.
- Furtwengler, W. J. (1985). <u>Situational effectiveness inventory.</u> In Nashville, TN: Research and Service Institute, Inc.
- Furtwengler, W. J. (1986). Reaching success through involvement-Implementation strategy for creating and maintaining effective schools. Paper presented at the meeting of the American Educational Research Association, San Francisco.
- Furtwengler, W. J. (1988). <u>Effective leadership for restructuring schools</u>. Paper presented at the meeting of the American Educational Research Association, San Francisco.
- Furtwengler, W. J. (1989). <u>Creating and maintaining effective schools-An implementation strategy revisited</u>. Paper presented at the meeting of the American Educational Research Association, New Orleans.

- Furtwengler, W. J. (1990a). Improving school discipline through student-teacher involvement. In O. Moles (Eds.), <u>Student discipline strategies:</u>

 <u>Research and practice</u> (pp. 77-98). Albany: State University of New York Press.
- Furtwengler, W. J. (1990b). <u>Student participation in restructured schools</u>. Paper presented at the meeting of the American Educational Research Association, Boston.
- Furtwengler, W. J. (1990c). <u>Teachers' perspectives of principals in school</u> restructuring environments. Paper presented at the meeting of the American Educational Research Association, Boston.
- Furtwengler, W. J., & Konnert, W. (1982). <u>Improving school discipline-an administrator's guide</u>. Boston, MA: Allyn and Bacon.
- Gleick, J. (1987). Chaos: Making a new science. New York: Penguin.
- Halpin, A. W., & Winer, B. J. (1957). A factorial study of the leader behavior descriptions. In R. M. Stogill & A. E. Coons (Eds.), <u>Leader behavior:</u>

 <u>Its description and measurement</u> (pp. 39-51). Columbus: Ohio State University, College of Commerce and Administration, Bureau of Business Research.
- Hitt, W. D. (1988). <u>The leader-manager: Guidelines for action</u>. Columbus: Battelle Press.
- Homans, G. C. (1950). The human group. New York: Harcourt, Brace & Co.
- Hurst, D. (1991). <u>Evaluating the Situational Effectiveness Inventory as a Measure of Leadership Effectiveness</u>. Doctoral dissertation, Vanderbilt University.
- Iannaccone, L., & Jangochian, R. (1985). High performing curriculum and instructional leadership in the climate of excellence. <u>National Association of Secondary School Principals Bulletin</u>, <u>69</u>(5), 28-35.
- Jaap, T. (1989). <u>Enabling leadership</u>. Southampton, Great Britain: Camelot Press, Ltd.
- Kelley, E. A. (1980). <u>Improving school climate: Leadership techniques for educators</u>. Reston, VA: National Association of Secondary School

- Principals.
- Langer, E. J. (1989). Mindfulness. Reading, MA: Addison-Wesley.
- Leftcourt, H. M. (1976). <u>Locus of control</u>. Hillsdale, NJ: Lawence Erlbraum.
- Mason, M. N. (1984). A study of the relationship between psychological school climate and organizational behavior. Doctoral dissertation, Vanderbilt University.
- Moles, O. (1990). <u>Student discipline strategies: Research and practice</u>. Albany: State University Press of New York.
- Morgan, G. (1986). <u>Images of organizations</u>. Beverly Fills: Sage Publications.
- Morton, L. J. (1981). A study of the relationship between organizational climate and teacher perceptions of discipline effectiveness. Doctoral dissertation, Vanderbilt University.
- Peters, T. J., & Austin, N. K. (1985). <u>A passion for excellence</u>: The leadership difference. New York: Random House.
- Prigogine, I., & Stengers, I. (1984). Order out of chaos: Man's new dialogue with nature. New York: Wiley & Sons.
- Purkey, S. C., & Smith, M. (1983). Effective schools: A review. <u>Elementary School Journal</u> (March), 427-52.
- Reddin, W. J. (1970). Managerial effectiveness. New York: McGraw-Hill.
- Ridge, W. J. (1989). Follow me! Business leadership Patton style. New York: American Management Association.
- Rutherford, W. L. (1985). School principals as effective leaders. <u>Phi Delta</u> <u>Kappan</u>, <u>67</u>(1), 31-34.
- Sayles, L. R. (1989). <u>Leadership: Managing in real organizations</u>. New York: McGraw-Hill.
- Schein, E. H. (1986). What you need to know about organizational culture. <u>Training and development journal</u>, 30-33.
- Senge, P. (1990a). The fifth discipline: The art and practice of the learning organization. New York: Doubleday/Currency.
- Senge, P. M. (1990b). The leader's new work: Building learning organiza-

- tions. Sloan Management Review, 23, 7-23.
- Sergiovanni, T. J. (1982). The 10-P model of quality leadership. <u>Educational Leadership</u>, <u>39</u>(5), 346-352.
- Sergiovanni, T. J. (1987). The theoretical basis for cultural leadership-Examining the exclusive. In <u>1987 ASCD Yearbook</u>. Washington, DC: Association of Supervision and Curriculum Development.
- Shartle, C. L. (1956). Introduction. In R. M. Stogdill & A. E. Coons (Eds.),

 <u>Leader behavior: Its description and measurement</u> (pp. 74-85). Columbus: Ohio State University, College of Commerce and Administration,

 Bureau of Business Research.
- Stogdill, R. M., & Coons, A. E. (1957). <u>Leader behavior: Its description</u> and measurement. Columbus: Ohio State University, Bureau of Business Research.
- Upton, B. (1986). Measuring school effectiveness using the school culture inventory; A validation study. Doctoral dissertation, Vanderbilt University.
- Walton, M. (1986). <u>The Deming management method</u>. New York: Perigee Books.
- Yukl, G. A. (1989). <u>Leadership in organizations</u>, (2nd ed.). Englewood Cliffs, NJ: Prentice-Hall.

Appendix A
Situational Effectiveness Inventory I–Expectations

Leadership Situational Effectiveness Inventory-Expectations

Copyright 1985 Willis J. Furtwengler

This inventory asks you to identify your expectations for the specific position that the participant occupies. Respond to each item according to the statement that best represents your expectations. Record the corresponding letter code on the accompanying response card. Use a # 2 black lead pencil.

A = Very much like the descriptor on the left

B = More like the descriptor on the left than the one on the right

C = A balance between the descriptors on the left and right

D = More like the descriptor on the right than the one on the left

E = Very much like the descriptor on the right

Example:

I expect a person in this position to:

Make decisions alone A B C (1) E Share decisions with others

The circled response or darkened letter on the response card indicates that you expect most decisions made by someone in this position to be shared with others.

I expect a person in this position to be:

1. Unsure of self, insecure ABCDE Secure, self-confident

2. Cheerful, optimistic ABCDE Pessimistic, cynical

3. Persistent ABCDE Not persistent

4. Impersonal, distant ABCDE Warm, caring

5. Centered on others ABCDE Centered on self

6. Conforming ABCDE Nonconforming

7. Not daring ABCDE Daring

8. Not concerned about own status ABCDE Concerned about own status

9. Aggressive, combative ABCDE Relaxed, not combative 10. Committed to "causes" ABCDE Not committed to "causes"

11. Nervous, tense, easily upset ABCDE Calm, composed, not easily upset

12. Unaware of the needs of others ABCDE Sensitive to the needs of others
13. Well informed ABCDE Unaware of important information

14. Patient, lenient ABCDE Demanding, driving

15. Aroused by challenge ABCDE Unaroused by challenge

16. Not easily led ABCDE Easily led

17. Impulsive, carefree ABCDE Cautious, careful

18. Concerned with appearing Unconcerned with appearing

totally competent ABCDE totally competent

19. Accepting of other ABCDE Critical of others
20. Sure of one's personal aims ABCDE Unsure of one's personal aims

21. Open to suggestions ABCDE Closed to suggestions

22. Suspicious of others ABCDE Trusting of others

I expect a person in this position to:

23. Hesitate to act ABCDE Act decisively

24. Identify, solve problems ABCDE Avoid, ignore problems

25. Not plan ahead ABCDE Plan ahead

Research and Service Institute, Inc. 7407 Magill Wichita, KS 67206

Telephone 316-634-1871 316-634-1873

R. S. I.

- Reaching Success Through Involvement

Anana	7:1
26. Ignore others ABCDE	Listen to others
27. Work to prove oneself better than others ABCDE	Not work to prove oneself better than others
28. Seek approval from others ABCDE	Not seek approval from others
29. Not seek out exciting things to do ABCDE	Seek out exciting things to do
30. Avoid putting people down ABCDE	Put people down
31. Often analyze own behavior ABCDE	Seldom analyze own behavior
32. Avoid responsibility for decisions ABCDE	Accept responsibility for decisions
33. Isolate self from others ABCDE	Involve self with others
34. Put things off ABCDE	Follow through
35. Not persevere ABCDE	Persevere
30. Ivot persevere 112022	10.507010
36. Recognize the accomplishments of others ABCDE	Imore the accomplishments of others
37. Not try to prove oneself best at everything ABCDE	Test to prove appeals heat at accomplishing
37. Not try to prove oneself best at everything ADODE	Person to social massives
38. Not respond to social pressures ABCDE	Respond to social pressures
39. Let others influence thinking ABCDE	Do his/her own thinking
40. Not be on stage all the time ABCDE	Be on stage all the time
ADADE	Trans Bulls Intons (1) 30 1 3
41. Be interested in self-development ABCDE	Have little interest in self development
42. Refer to rules for making decisions ABCDE	Rely on personal judgment in making decision
43. Always be a participant ABCDE	Always be a spectator
44. Keep others in the dark ABCDE	Keeps others well informed
45. Set reasonable goals ABCDE	Set unreasonable goals
46. Limit growth opportunities for others ABCDE	Expand growth opportunities for others
47. Prefer not to do tasks alone ABCDE	Prefer to do tasks alone
48. Not please others all the time ABCDE	Please others all the time
49. Not seek new risks ABCDE	Seek new risks
50. Dominate the behavior of others ABCDE	Allow others freedom to act
The management of anions and anions anion	
51. Search for meaning in their work ABCDE	Have found meaning in their work
52. Resist change, focus on the past ABCDE	Welcome change, focus on future
53. Develop others who put the welfare	Develop others who put their own
of others ahead of their own needs ABCDE	needs ahead of the welfare of others
54. Discourage others from using	Encourage others to trust
their own judgment ABCDE	own judgment
55. Be decisive, consistent, and	Be indecisive, inconsistent, and not
firm in response to misdeeds ABCDE	firm in resnance to mischaeds
in in response w misdeeds it b o b is	mm m response w misuceus
SS Not named athers for their	Reward others for their
56. Not reward others for their appropriate behavior ABCDE	annanaista haharias
	Mot attempt to blome attend
57. Attempt to blame others	Not attempt to blame others
when problems arise ABCDE	when problems arise
58. Discourage feedback on own performance ABCDE	Encourage feedback on own performance
59. Make decisions without others ABCDE	Share making of decisions with others
60. Develop those who satisfy their needs	Develop others who satisfy their
without burdening others ABCDE	needs by burdening others
61. Encourage individualism ABCDE	Discourage individualism
62. Provide penalties for those	Withhold penalities from those
who repeat misdeeds ABCDE	who repeat misdeeds
63. Not recognize the	Recognize the accomplishments
accomplishments of others ABCDE	of others
64. View problems as being caused by those	View problems as being caused by those inside
outside rather than inside the organization ABCDE	
65. Discourage others from assuming	Encourage others to assume
responsibility for solving problems ABCDE	
responding to solving bionicing 2 0 p. p.	
66. Involve others in considering alternatives	Consider alternatives without others when
when making important decisions ABCDE	
Amen making important decisions at DOD is	
	,



Outcomes that a person (in this specific position) could create and maintain in the organization are listed in this inventory section. Indicate the extent to which you expect each outcome to be created and/or maintained by someone in this position by marking your response card with one of these letter codes:

A-Never

B-Seldom

C-Sometimes

D-Usually

E-Always

Example:

I expect a person in this position to create and maintain in the organization: A strong commitment to quality A B C D (E)

The circled response indicates that you expect the person to always create and maintain a strong commitment to quality in the organization.

A person in this position should create and/or maintain among personnel in the organization:

 67. A clear understanding of the aims of the organization. 68. An emphasis on punctuality. 69. Opportunities for people to share important personal concerns. 70. Perceptions that the administrator supports the 	A B C D E A B C D E A B C D E
learning and work activities of the organization.	ABCDE
71. A norm for people to go beyond the "call of duty."	ABCDE
72. Consistency in the handling of day-to-day events.	ABCDE
73. Feelings that everyone is a part of the organization.	ABCDE
74. Pockets in the organization for innovation and experimentation.	ABCDE
75. A strong commitment to the aims of the organization.	ABCDE
76. Genuine attention to both detail and quality.	ABCDE
77. Opportunities for the staff to have occasional socials.	ABCDE
78. Resources for the support of day-to-day staff activities.	ABCDE
to. Itoobattos tot allo support of any to-any sent activities.	1. D O D B

Appendix B
Situational Effectiveness Inventory II–Perceptions

- Reaching Success Through Involvement

Leadership Situational Effectiveness Inventory-Perceptions

Copyright 1985 Willis J. Furtwengler

This inventory asks you to identify your perceptions of the participant who occupies the specific position. Respond to each item according to the statement that best represents your views of that person. Record the corresponding letter code on the accompanying response card. Use a # 2 black lead pencil.

A = Very much like the descriptor on the left

B = More like the descriptor on the left than the one on the right

C = A balance between the descriptors on the left and right

D = More like the descriptor on the right than the one on the left

E = Very much like the descriptor on the right

Example:

I believe that the participant does:

Make decisions alone A B C D E Share decisions with others The circled response or darkened letter on the response card indicates that you believe that most decisions made by the participant are shared with others.

I believe that the participant is:

1. Unsure of self, insecure ABCDE Secure, self-confident

2. Cheerful, optimistic ABCDE Pessimistic, cynical

3. Persistent ABCDE Not persistent

4. Impersonal, distant ABCDE Warm, caring

5. Centered on others ABCDE Centered on self

6. Conforming ABCDE Nonconforming

7. Not daring ABCDE Daring

8. Not concerned about own status ABCDE Concerned about own status
9. Aggressive, combative ABCDE Relaxed, not combative
10. Committed to "causes" ABCDE Not committed to "causes"

11. Nervous, tense, easily upset ABCDE Calm, composed, not easily upset

12. Unaware of the needs of others ABCDE Sensitive to the needs of others

13. Well informed ABCDE Unaware of important information

14. Patient, lenient ABCDE Demanding, driving

15. Aroused by challenge ABCDE Unaroused by challenge

16. Not easily led ABCDE Easily led

17. Impulsive, carefree ABCDE Cautious, careful

18. Concerned with appearing Unconcerned with appearing

totally competent ABCDE totally competent

19. Accepting of other ABCDE Critical of others

20. Sure of one's personal aims ABCDE Unsure of one's personal aims

21. Open to suggestions ABCDE Closed to suggestions

22. Suspicious of others ABCDE Trusting of others

I believe that the participant does:

23. Hesitate to act ABCDE Act decisively

24. Identify, solve problems A B C D E Avoid, ignore problems

25. Not plan ahead ABCDE Plan ahead

Research and Service Institute, Inc. 7407 Magili

32

Telephone 316-634-1871

316-634-1873

Reaching Success Through Involvement 26. Ignore others ABCDE Listen to others 27. Work to prove oneself better than others ABCDE Not work to prove oneself better than others 28. Seek approval from others ABCDE Not seek approval from others 29. Not seek out exciting things to do ABCDE Seek out exciting things to do 30. Avoid putting people down ABCDE Put people down 31. Often analyze own behavior ABCDE Seldom analyze own behavior 32. Avoid responsibility for decisions ABCDE Accept responsibility for decisions 33. Isolate self from others ABCDE Involve self with others 34. Put things off ABCDE Follow through 35. Not persevere ABCDE Persevere 36. Recognize the accomplishments of others ABCDE Ignore the accomplishments of others 37. Not try to prove oneself best at everything ABCDE Try to prove oneself best at everything 38. Not respond to social pressures ABCDE Respond to social pressures 39. Let others influence thinking ABCDE Do his/her own thinking 40. Not be on stage all the time ABCDE Be on stage all the time 41. Be interested in self-development ABCDE Have little interest in self-development 42. Refer to rules for making decisions A B C D E Rely on personal judgment in making decisions 43. Always be a participant ABCDE Always be a spectator 44. Keep others in the dark ABCDE Keeps others well informed 45. Set reasonable goals ABCDE Set unreasonable goals 46. Limit growth opportunities for others ABCDE Expand growth opportunities for others 47. Prefer not to do tasks alone ABCDE Prefer to do tasks alone 48. Not please others all the time ABCDE Please others all the time 49. Not seek new risks ABCDE Seek new risks 50. Dominate the behavior of others ABCDE Allow others freedom to act 51. Search for meaning in their work ABCDE Have found meaning in their work 52. Resist change, focus on the past ABCDE Welcome change, focus on future 53. Develop others who put the welfare Develop others who put their own of others ahead of their own needs ABCDE needs ahead of the welfare of others 54. Discourage others from using Encourage others to trust their own judgment ABCDE own judgment 55. Be decisive, consistent, and Be indecisive, inconsistent, and not firm in response to misdeeds ABCDE firm in response to misdeeds 56. Not reward others for their Reward others for their appropriate behavior ABCDE appropriate behavior 57. Attempt to blame others Not attempt to blame others when problems arise ABCDE when problems arise 58. Discourage feedback on own performance ABCDE Encourage feedback on own performance 59. Make decisions without others ABCDE Share making of decisions with others 60. Develop those who satisfy their needs Develop others who satisfy their without burdening others ABCDE needs by burdening others 61. Encourage individualism ABCDE Discourage individualism 62. Provide penalties for those Withhold penalities from those who repeat misdeeds ABCDE who repeat misdeeds 63. Not recognize the Recognize the accomplishments accomplishments of others ABCDE of others

64. View problems as being caused by those outside rather than inside the organization ABCDE

65. Discourage others from assuming responsibility for solving problems ABCDE responsibility for solving problems

66. Involve others in considering alternatives when making important decisions ABCDE making important decisions

View problems as being caused by those inside rather than outside the organization Encourage others to assume

Consider alternatives without others when

Research and Service Institute, Inc. 7407 Magill

Telephone 316-634-1871 Fax 316-634-1873

R. S. I.

Reaching Success Through Involvement

Outcomes that the person (in the designated position) could create and maintain in the organization are listed in this inventory section. Indicate the **extent to which** you believe each outcome is created and/or maintained by the person by marking your response card with one of these letter codes:

A-Never

B-Seldom

C-Sometimes

D-Usually

E-Always

Example:

I believe that the participant creates and maintains:

A strong commitment to quality A B C D(E)

The circled response indicates that you believe that the participant always creates and maintains a strong commitment to quality in the organization.

The person creates and/or maintains among personnel in the organization:

67. A clear understanding of the aims of the organization. 68. An emphasis on punctuality.	ABCDE ABCDE
69. Opportunities for people to share important personal concerns.70. Perceptions that the administrator supports the learning and work	ABCDE
activities of the organization.	ABCDE
71. A norm for people to go beyond the "call of duty."	ABCDE
72. Consistency in the handling of day-to-day events.	ABCDE
73. Feelings that everyone is a part of the organization.	ABCDE
74. Pockets in the organization for innovation and experimentation.	ABCDE
75. A strong commitment to the aims of the organization.	ABCDE
76. Genuine attention to both detail and quality.	ABCDE
77. Opportunities for the staff to have occasional socials.	ABCDE
78. Resources for the support of day-to-day staff activities.	ABCDE